

YOUNG LEE, AS VICTIM'S
REPRESENTATIVE,

Appellant,

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

IN THE

COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

OF MARYLAND

September Term, 2022

No. 1291

(Cir. Ct. No. 199103042)

* * * * *

ORDER

Having reviewed and considered the following motions and responses, it is this 4th day of November 2022,

1. ORDERED, that upon consideration of Adnan Syed's "Motion to Disqualify Office of the Attorney General as Counsel for the State of Maryland or Strike the State as a Party to the Appeal," the State's opposition thereto, and Mr. Syed's reply to the State's opposition, Mr. Syed's motion is DENIED; and it is further
2. ORDERED, that upon consideration of "Appellant's Response to This Court's Order to Show Cause Why Young Lee's Appeal Should Not be Dismissed as Moot," together with Mr. Syed's reply to Mr. Lee's response, the provision of this Court's October 12 Order directing the appellant to show cause is deemed satisfied. This appeal shall proceed; and it is further
3. ORDERED on the Court's own initiative, that the Clerk of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City is directed to transmit the record to this Court, forthwith; and it is further
4. ORDERED that the appellant's brief shall be filed on or before December 9. The State's brief and the brief of Mr. Syed shall be filed on or before January 9, 2023. The parties are directed to brief (1) whether this appeal is moot, (2) whether this case,

even if moot, warrants the Court's exercise of its discretion to issue an opinion on the merits despite mootness, and (3) the merits of whether the notice Mr. Lee received in advance of the circuit court's *vacatur* hearing complied with the applicable constitutional provisions, statutes, and rules; and it is further

5. ORDERED that this appeal shall be scheduled for consideration in the February 2023 session of this Court; and it is further
6. ORDERED that upon consideration of Mr. Syed's "Motion to Strike Exhibit A to Appellant's Response and Reply to Responses by Appellant and the Office of the Attorney General / Motion to Strike Appendix to Appellant's Response," the appellant's opposition thereto, and Mr. Syed's further reply in support of the motion, Mr. Syed's motion is DENIED.

FOR A PANEL OF THE COURT
(consisting of Nazarian, Beachley, Albright, JJ.)



(JUDGE'S SIGNATURE APPEARS ON
THE ORIGINAL OF THIS DOCUMENT)

Anne Albright, Judge